

# Quantum Systems

(Lecture 1: Introduction)

Luís Soares Barbosa



Universidade do Minho



**HASLab**  
HIGH ASSURANCE  
SOFTWARE LABORATORY



**INL**  
INTERNATIONAL IBERIAN  
NANOTECHNOLOGY  
LABORATORY




UNITED NATIONS  
UNIVERSITY

**UNU-EGOV**


Universidade do Minho

# Interaction and Concurrency


reactive systems  
classical discrete interaction



cyber-physical systems  
classical continuous interaction



quantum systems  
quantum interaction



# Why studying quantum systems?

## Quantum is trendy ...

Research on quantum technologies is **speeding up**, and has already **created first operational and commercially available applications**.


For the first time the viability of quantum computing may be **demonstrated in a number of problems** and **its utility discussed across industries**.

Efforts, at national or international levels, to further **scale up** this research and development are in place.



# Why studying quantum systems?

... and full of promises ...

- Real difficult, complex problems remain **out of reach** of classical supercomputers
- Classical computer technology is running up against **fundamental size limitations** (Moore's law),



... but the race is just starting



- Clearly, quantum computing will have a **substantial impact on societies**,
- even if, being a so **radically different technology**, it is difficult to **anticipate its evolution**.

# Quantum Mechanics 'meets' Computer Science

Two main intellectual achievements of the 20th century met

- Computer Science and Information theory progressed by **abstracting** from the physical reality. This was the key of its success to an extent that **its origin was almost forgotten**.
- On the other hand **quantum mechanics** ubiquitously underlies ICT devices at the implementation level, but had no influence on the **computational model** itself ...
- ... until **now!**



# Quantum Mechanics 'meets' Computer Science

Richard Feynman (1918 - 1988)



*Simulating Physics with Computers* (1982)  
(quantum reality as a computational resource)

# Quantum Mechanics 'meets' Computer Science

- **C. Bennet** and **G. Brassard** showed how properties of quantum measurements could provide a provably secure mechanism for defining a cryptographic key.
- **R. Feynman** recognised that certain quantum phenomena could not be simulated efficiently by a classical computer, and suggested computational simulations may build on **quantum phenomena regarded as computational resources**.



# Quantum effects as computational resources

## Superposition

Our perception is that an object — e.g. a **bit** — exists in a well-defined state, even when we are not looking at it.

**However:** A quantum state **holds information of both possible classical states**.

## Entanglement

Our perception is that objects are directly affected only by nearby objects, i.e. the laws of physics work in a local way.

**However:** two qubits can be connected, or **entangled**, so an action performed on one of them **can have an immediate effect on the other** even at distance.

# Quantum effects as computational resources

## God plays dice indeed

Our perception is that the laws of Physics are deterministic: there is a unique outcome to every experiment.

**However:** one can only know the probability of the outcome, for example the probability of a system in a superposition to collapse into a specific state when measured.

## Uncertainty is a feature, not a bug

Our perception is that with better tools we will be able to measure whatever seems relevant for a problem.

**However:** there are inherent limitations to the amount of knowledge that one can ascertain about a physical system

# Quantum Computation

Davis Deutsch (1953)



Quantum theory, the Church-Turing principle and the universal quantum computer (1985)

(quantum computability and computational model:  
first example of a quantum algorithm that is exponentially faster than  
any possible deterministic classical one)

# Quantum Computation


*quantum resources*



*quantum algorithms*



*computability*



# Quantum Computation


*quantum resources*



*quantum algorithms*



*computability*



# Quantum Computation


*quantum resources*



*quantum algorithms*



*computability*



# Which problems can be addressed?

## No magic ...

- A huge amount of information can be **stored** and **manipulated** in the states of a relatively small number of qubits,
- ... but **measurement** will pick up just **one** of the computed solutions and **collapse** the whole (quantum) state

## ... but engineering:

To boost the probability of arriving to a solution by **canceling out** some computational paths and **reinforcing** others,

depending on the **structure of the problem** at hands.

# Which problems a Quantum Computer can solve?

- 1994: Peter Shor's factorization algorithm (exponential speed-up),
- 1996: Grover's unstructured search (quadratic speed-up),
- 2018: Advances in hash collision search, i.e finding two items identical in a long list — serious threat to the basic building blocks of secure electronic commerce.
- 2019: Google announced to have achieved quantum supremacy

Availability of proof of concept hardware

Explosion of emerging applications in several domains: security, finance, optimization, machine learning, ...

# Where exactly do we stand?

NISQ - Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum Hybrid machines:

- the quantum device as a coprocessor
- typically accessed as a service over the cloud



IBM Quantum Computing interface showing a quantum circuit for Grover's Search Algorithm. The circuit is titled "Grover's Search Algorithm, 11" and is designed for a "Real Quantum Processor". The circuit involves 5 qubits (Q0, Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) and includes gates such as H, X, CNOT, and MEASURE. The interface also displays "Quantum Experience" options (Account, Logout) and "Quantum properties" for Qubit 0: 5.55 GHz, T1: 54 μs, T2: 74.3 μs, and a date of 2016-06-27 02:47.

## Still a long way to go ...

- Quantum computations are **fragile**: noise and decoherence.
- Current methods and tools for quantum software development are still **highly fragmentary** and **fundamentally low-level**.
- A lack of **reliable approaches** to quantum programming will put at risk the expected quantum advantage of the new hardware.

Time to **go deeper** ...