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## The principles

Quantum computation explores the laws of quantum theory as computational resources.

Thus, the principles of the former are directly derived from the postulates of the latter.

- The state space postulate
- The state evolution postulate
- The state composition postulate
- The state measurement postulate

The underlying maths is that of Hilbert spaces.

## The underlying maths: Hilbert spaces

Complex, inner-product vector space
A complex vector space with inner product

$$
\langle-\mid-\rangle: V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

such that
(1) $\left.\langle v| \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \cdot\left|w_{i}\right\rangle\right\rangle=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}\left\langle v \mid w_{i}\right\rangle$
(2) $\langle v \mid w\rangle=\overline{\langle w \mid v\rangle}$
(3) $\langle v \mid v\rangle \geq 0$ (with equality iff $|v\rangle=0$ )

Note: $\langle-\mid-\rangle$ is conjugate linear in the first argument:

$$
\left\langle\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \cdot \mid w_{i}\right\rangle|v\rangle=\sum_{i} \bar{\lambda}_{i}\left\langle w_{i} \mid v\right\rangle
$$

Notation: $\langle v \mid w\rangle \equiv\langle v, w\rangle \equiv(|v\rangle,|w\rangle)$

## Dirac's notation

Dirac's bra/ket notation is a handy way to represent elements and constructions on an Hilbert space, amenable to calculations and with direct correspondence to diagrammatic (categorial) representations of process theories
$|u\rangle$ A ket stands for a vector in an Hilbert space $V$. In $\complement^{n}$, a column vector of complex entries. The identity for + (the zero vector) is just written 0 .
$\langle u|$ A bra is a vector in the dual space $V^{\dagger}$, i.e. scalar-valued linear maps in $V$ - a row vector in $\varrho^{n}$.

There is a bijective correspondence between $|u\rangle$ and $\langle u|$

$$
|u\rangle=\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{1} \\
\vdots \\
u_{n}
\end{array}\right] \Leftrightarrow\left[\bar{u}_{1} \cdots \bar{u}_{n}\right]=\langle u|
$$

## Inner product: examples

$\ln \mathcal{C}$

$$
\langle a+b i \mid c+d i\rangle=(a-b i)(c+d i)=a c+a d i-b c i+b d
$$

In $\mathcal{C}^{n}$ : The dot product
A useful example of a inner product is the dot product

$$
\langle u \mid v\rangle=\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
\vdots \\
u_{n}
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
v_{1} \\
v_{2} \\
\vdots \\
v_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\overline{u_{1}} & \overline{u_{2}} & \ldots & \overline{u_{n}}
\end{array}\right]}_{\langle u|}\left[\begin{array}{c}
v_{1} \\
v_{2} \\
\vdots \\
v_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{u_{i}} v_{i}
$$

where $\bar{c}=a-i b$ is the complex conjugate of $c=a+i b$
$\langle u|$ is the adjoint of vector $|u\rangle$, i.e a vector in the dual vector space $V^{\dagger}$.

## Old friends: The dual space

## $V^{\dagger}$

If $V$ is a Hilbert space, $V^{\dagger}$ is the space of linear maps from $V$ to $\mathcal{C}$.
Elements of $V^{\dagger}$ are denoted by

$$
\langle u|: V \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \text { defined by }\langle u|(|v\rangle)=\langle u \mid v\rangle
$$

In a matricial representation $\langle u|$ is obtained as the Hermitian conjugate (i.e. the transpose of the vector composed by the complex conjugate of each element) of $|u\rangle$, therefore the dot product of $|u\rangle$ and $|v\rangle$.

## The adjoint operator

Given an operator $U: H \longrightarrow H$, its adjoint $U^{\dagger}: H^{\dagger} \longrightarrow H^{\dagger}$ is the unique operator satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{\dagger}\langle w|(|v\rangle)=\langle w|(U|v\rangle) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $(U V)^{\dagger}=V^{\dagger} U^{\dagger}$ because

$$
\begin{aligned}
(U V)^{\dagger}\langle w|(|v\rangle) & =\langle w|(U V|v\rangle) \\
& =U^{\dagger}\langle w|(V|v\rangle) \\
& =V^{\dagger} U^{\dagger}\langle w|(|v\rangle)
\end{aligned}
$$

## The adjoint operator

Using the definition of the application of a transformation in $\mathrm{H}^{\dagger}$ to an element of $H$, equation (1), boils down to an equality between inner products:

$$
\begin{aligned}
U^{\dagger}\langle w|(|v\rangle) & =\left(\left(U^{\dagger}\langle w|\right)^{\dagger},|v\rangle\right) \\
& =(|w\rangle U,|v\rangle) \\
& =(|w\rangle, U|v\rangle) \\
& =\langle w|(U|v\rangle)
\end{aligned}
$$

The inner product $(|w\rangle U,|v\rangle)=(|w\rangle, U|v\rangle)$ can be written without any ambiguity as

$$
\langle u| U|v\rangle
$$

The matrix representation of $U^{\dagger}$ is the conjugate transpose of that of $U$
Exercise: Prove that $\overline{\langle w| U|v\rangle}=\langle v| U^{\dagger}|w\rangle$

## Old friends: Norms and orthogonality

Old friends

- $|v\rangle$ and $|w\rangle$ are orthogonal if $\langle v \mid w\rangle=0$
- norm: $\||v\rangle \|=\sqrt{\langle v \mid v\rangle}$
- normalization: $\frac{|v\rangle}{\| v\rangle \|}$
- $|v\rangle$ is a unit vector if $\||v\rangle \|=1$
- A set of vectors $\{|i\rangle,\langle j\rangle, \cdots$,$\} is orthonormal if each |i\rangle$ is a unit vector and

$$
\langle i \mid j\rangle=\delta_{i, j}= \begin{cases}i=j & \Rightarrow 1 \\ \text { otherwise } & \Rightarrow 0\end{cases}
$$

## Old friends: Bases

Orthonormal basis
A orthonormal basis for a Hilbert space $V$ of dimension $n$ is a set $B=\{|i\rangle\}$ of $n$ linearly independent elements of $V$ st

- $\langle i \mid j\rangle=\delta_{i, j}$ for all $|i\rangle,|j\rangle \in B$
- and $B$ spans $V$, i.e. every $|v\rangle$ in $V$ can be written as

$$
|v\rangle=\sum_{i} \alpha_{i}|i\rangle \text { for some } \alpha_{i} \in \mathcal{C}
$$

Note that the amplitude or coefficient of $|v\rangle$ wrt $|i\rangle$ satisfies

$$
\alpha_{i}=\langle i \mid v\rangle
$$

Why?

## Bases

$\alpha_{i}=\langle i \mid v\rangle$ because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle i \mid v\rangle & =\left\langle i \mid \sum_{j} \alpha_{j} j\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{j} \alpha_{j}\langle i \mid j\rangle \\
& =\sum_{j} \alpha_{j} \delta_{i, j} \\
& =\alpha_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note
If $|v\rangle$ is expressed wrt any orthonormal basis $\{|i\rangle\}$, i.e. $|v\rangle=\sum_{i} \alpha_{i}|i\rangle$, then

$$
\||v\rangle\left\|=\sum_{i}\right\| \alpha_{i} \|^{2}
$$

## Example: The Hadamard basis

One of the infinitely many orthonormal bases for a space of dimension 2 :

Check e. g.

## Bases

A basis for $V^{\dagger}$
If $\{|i\rangle\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $V$, then
$\{\langle i|\}$
is an orthonormal basis for $V^{\dagger}$

## Hilbert spaces

The complete picture
An Hilbert space is an inner-product space $V$ st the metric defined by its norm turns $V$ into a complete metric space, i.e.any Cauchy sequence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|v_{1}\right\rangle,\left|v_{2}\right\rangle, \cdots \\
\forall_{\epsilon>0} \exists_{N} \forall_{m, n>N} \quad \|\left|v_{m}-v_{n}\right\rangle \| \leq \epsilon
\end{gathered}
$$

converges
(i.e. there exists an element $|s\rangle$ in $V$ st $\forall_{\epsilon>0} \exists_{N} \forall_{n>N} \|\left|s-v_{n}\right\rangle \| \leq \epsilon$ )

The completeness condition is trivial in finite dimensional vector spaces

## The state space postulate

## Postulate 1

The state space of a quantum system is described by a unit vector in a Hilbert space

- In practice, with finite resources, one cannot distinguish between a continuous state space from a discrete one with arbitrarily small minimum spacing between adjacente locations.
- One may, then, restrict to finite-dimensional (complex) Hilbert spaces.


## The state space postulate

A quantum (binary) state is represented as a superposition, i.e. a linear combination of vectors $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ with complex coeficients:

$$
|\phi\rangle=\alpha|0\rangle+\beta|1\rangle=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \\
\beta
\end{array}\right]
$$

When state $|\phi\rangle$ is measured (i.e. observed) one of the two basic states $|0\rangle,|1\rangle$ is returned with probability

$$
\|\alpha\|^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad\|\beta\|^{2}
$$

respectively.
Being probabilities, the norm squared of coefficients must satisfy

$$
\|\alpha\|^{2}+\|\beta\|^{2}=1
$$

which enforces quantum states to be represented by unit vectors.

## The state space of a qubit

Global phase
Unit vectors equivalent up to multiplication by a complex number of modulus one, i.e. a phase factor $e^{i \theta}$, represent the same state.
Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
|v\rangle=\alpha|u\rangle+\beta\left|u^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
\left\|e^{i \theta} \alpha\right\|^{2}=\left(\overline{e^{i \theta} \alpha}\right)\left(e^{i \theta} \alpha\right)=\left(e^{-i \theta} \bar{\alpha}\right)\left(e^{i \theta} \alpha\right)=\bar{\alpha} \alpha=\|\alpha\|^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

and similarly for $\beta$.
As the probabilities $\|\alpha\|^{2}$ and $\|\beta\|^{2}$ are the only measurable quantities, global phase has no physical meaning.

Representation redundancy
qubit state space $\neq$ complex vector space used for representation

## The state space of a qubit

Relative phase
It is a measure of the angle between the two complex numbers.
Thus, it cannot be discarded!

Those are different states

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|u\rangle+\left|u^{\prime}\right\rangle\right) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|u\rangle-\left|u^{\prime}\right\rangle\right) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(e^{i \theta}|u\rangle+\left|u^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)
$$

## A parenthesis

## The Bloch sphere

Deterministic, probabilistic and quantum bits

(from [Kaeys et al, 2007])

## The Bloch sphere: Representing $|\psi\rangle=\alpha|0\rangle+\beta|1\rangle$

- Express $|\psi\rangle$ in polar form

$$
|\psi\rangle=\rho_{1} e^{i \varphi_{1}}|0\rangle+\rho_{2} e^{i \varphi_{2}}|1\rangle
$$

- Eliminate one of the four real parameters multiplying by $e^{-i \varphi_{1}}$

$$
|\psi\rangle=\rho_{1}|0\rangle+\rho_{2} e^{i\left(\varphi_{2}-\varphi_{1}\right)}|1\rangle=\rho_{1}|0\rangle+\rho_{2} e^{i \varphi}|1\rangle
$$

making $\varphi=\varphi_{2}-\varphi_{1}$,
which is possible because global phase factors are physically meaningless.

## The Bloch sphere: Representing $|\psi\rangle=\alpha|0\rangle+\beta|1\rangle$

- Switching back the coefficient of $|1\rangle$ to Cartesian coordinates

$$
|\psi\rangle=\rho_{1}|0\rangle+(a+b i)|1\rangle
$$

the normalization constraint

$$
\left\|\rho_{1}\right\|^{2}+\|a+i b\|^{2}=\left\|\rho_{1}\right\|^{2}+(a-i b)(a+i b)=\left\|\rho_{1}\right\|^{2}+a^{2}+b^{2}=1
$$

yields the equation of a unit sphere in the real tridimensional space with Cartesian coordinates: $\left(a, b, \rho_{1}\right)$.

## The Bloch sphere: Representing $|\psi\rangle=\alpha|0\rangle+\beta|1\rangle$

- The polar coordinates $(\rho, \theta, \varphi)$ of a point in the surface of a sphere relate to Cartesian ones through the correspondence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\rho \sin \theta \cos \varphi \\
& y=\rho \sin \theta \sin \varphi \\
& z=\rho \cos \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

- Recalling $r=1$ (cf unit sphere),

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\psi\rangle & =\rho_{1}|0\rangle+(a+i b)|1\rangle \\
& =\cos \theta|0\rangle+\sin \theta(\cos \varphi+i \sin \varphi)|1\rangle \\
& =\cos \theta|0\rangle+e^{i \varphi} \sin \theta|1\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

which, with two parameters, defines a point in the sphere's surface.

## The Bloch sphere

Actually, one may just focus on the upper hemisphere ( $0 \leq \theta^{\prime} \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ ) as opposite points in the lower one differ only by a phase factor of -1 , as suggested by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta^{\prime}=0 \Rightarrow|\psi\rangle & =\cos 0|0\rangle+e^{i \varphi} \sin 0|1\rangle=|0\rangle \\
\theta^{\prime}=\frac{\pi}{2} \Rightarrow|\psi\rangle & =\cos \frac{\pi}{2}|0\rangle+e^{i \varphi} \sin \frac{\pi}{2}|1\rangle=e^{i \varphi}|1\rangle=|1\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that longitude $(\varphi)$ is irrelevant in a pole!

## The Bloch sphere

Indeed, let $\left|\psi^{\prime}\right\rangle$ be the opposite point on the sphere with polar coordinates $(1, \pi-\theta, \varphi+\pi)$ :


$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\psi^{\prime}\right\rangle & =\cos (\pi-\theta)|0\rangle+e^{i(\varphi+\pi)} \sin (\pi-\theta)|1\rangle \\
& =-\cos \theta|0\rangle+e^{i \varphi} e^{i \pi} \sin \theta|1\rangle \\
& =-\cos \theta|0\rangle+e^{i \varphi} \sin \theta|1\rangle \\
& =-|\psi\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Bloch sphere

which leads to

$$
|\psi\rangle=\cos \frac{\theta}{2}|0\rangle+e^{i \varphi} \sin \frac{\theta}{2}|1\rangle
$$

where $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi, 0 \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi$


The map $\frac{\theta}{2} \mapsto \theta$ is one-to-one at any point but at $\frac{\theta}{2}$ : all points on the equator are mapped into a single point: the south pole.

## The Bloch sphere



- The poles represent the classical bits. In general, orthogonal states correspond to antipodal points and every diameter to a basis for the single-qubit state space.
- Once measured a qubit collapses to one of the two poles. Which pole depends exactly on the arrow direction: The angle $\theta$ measures that probability: If the arrow points at the equator, there is $50-50$ chance to collapse to any of the two poles.
- Rotating a vector wrt the z -axis results into a phase change ( $\varphi$ ), and does not affect which state the arrow will collapse to, when measured.


## End of parenthesis

## The state evolution postulate

If a quantum state is a ray (i.e. a unit vector in a Hilbert space $H$ up to a global phase), its evolution is specified a certain kind of linear operators $U: H \longrightarrow H$.

Linearity

$$
U\left(\sum_{j} \alpha_{j}\left|v_{j}\right\rangle\right)=\sum_{j} \alpha_{j} U\left(\left|v_{j}\right\rangle\right)
$$

just by itself has an important consequence: quantum states cannot be cloned

## The no-cloning theorem

Linearity implies that quantum states cannot be cloned
Let $U(|a\rangle|0\rangle)=|a\rangle|a\rangle$ be a 2-qubit operator and $|c\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|a\rangle+|b\rangle)$ for $|a\rangle,|b\rangle$ orthogonal. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
U(|c\rangle|0\rangle) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(U(|a\rangle|0\rangle)+U(|b\rangle|0\rangle)) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|a\rangle|a\rangle+|b\rangle|b\rangle) \\
& \neq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|a\rangle|a\rangle+|a\rangle|b\rangle+|b\rangle|a\rangle+|b\rangle|b\rangle) \\
& =|c\rangle|c\rangle \\
& =U(|c\rangle|0\rangle)
\end{aligned}
$$

As already seen, $|x\rangle|y\rangle=|x y\rangle=|x\rangle \otimes|y\rangle$

## The state evolution postulate

## Postulate 2

The evolution over time of the state of a closed quantum system is described by a unitary operator.

The evolution is linear

$$
U\left(\sum_{j} \alpha_{j}\left|v_{j}\right\rangle\right)=\sum_{j} \alpha_{j} U\left(\left|v_{j}\right\rangle\right)
$$

and preserves the normalization constraint

$$
\text { If } \sum_{j} \alpha_{j} U\left(\left|v_{j}\right\rangle\right)=\sum_{j} \alpha_{j}^{\prime}\left|v_{j}\right\rangle \text { then } \sum_{j}\left\|\alpha_{j}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}=1
$$

## The state evolution postulate

Preservation of the normalization constraint means that unit length vectors (and thus orthogonal subspaces) are mapped by $U$ to unit length vectors (and thus to orthogonal subspaces).

It also means that applying a transformation followed by a measurement in the transformed basis is equivalent to a measurement followed by a transformation.

This entails a condition on valid quantum operators: they must preserve the inner product, i.e.

$$
(U|v\rangle, U|w\rangle)=\langle v| U^{\dagger} U|w\rangle=\langle v \mid w\rangle
$$

which is the case iff $U$ is unitary, i.e. $U^{\dagger}=U^{-1}$ :

$$
U^{\dagger} U=U U^{\dagger}=1
$$

## Unitarity

- Preserving the inner product means that a unitary operator maps orthonormal bases to orthonormal bases.
- Conversely, any operator with this property is unitary.
- If given in matrix form, being unitary means that the set of columns of its matrix representation are orthonormal (because the $j$ th column is the image of $U|j\rangle$ ). Equivalently, rows are orthonormal (why?)


## Unitarity

Unitarity is the only constraint on quantum operators: Any unitary matrix specifies a valid quantum operator.

This means that there are many non-trivial operators on a single qubit (in contrast with the classical case where the only non-trivial operation on a bit is complement.

Finally, because the inverse of a unitary matrix is also a unitary matrix, a quantum operator can always be inverted by another quantum operator

Unitary transformations are reversible

## Building larger states from smaller

Operator $U$ in the no-cloning theorem acts on a 2-dimensional state, i.e. over the composition of two qubits.

What does composition mean?

Postulate 3
The state space of a combined quantum system is the tensor product $V \otimes W$ of the state spaces $V$ and $W$ of its components.

## Composing quantum states

State spaces in a quantum system combine through tensor:

$$
n \text { m-dimensional vectors } \rightsquigarrow \text { a vector in } m^{n} \text {-dimensional space }
$$

i.e. the state space of a quantum system grows exponentially with the number of particles: cf, Feyman's original motivation

## Example

## Composing quantum states

## Tensor $V \otimes W$

- $B_{V \otimes W}$ is a set of elements of the form $\left|v_{i}\right\rangle \otimes\left|w_{j}\right\rangle$, for each $\left|v_{i}\right\rangle \in B_{V},\left|w_{i}\right\rangle \in B_{W}$ and $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{V} \otimes \mathrm{W})=\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{V}) \times \operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{W})$
- $\left(\left|u_{1}\right\rangle+\left|u_{2}\right\rangle\right) \otimes|z\rangle=\left|u_{1}\right\rangle \otimes|z\rangle+\left|u_{2}\right\rangle \otimes|z\rangle$
$\cdot|z\rangle \otimes\left(\left|u_{1}\right\rangle+\left|u_{2}\right\rangle\right)=|z\rangle \otimes\left|u_{1}\right\rangle+|z\rangle \otimes\left|u_{2}\right\rangle$
- $(\alpha|u\rangle) \otimes|z\rangle=|u\rangle \otimes(\alpha|z\rangle)=\alpha(|u\rangle \otimes|z\rangle)$
- $\left.\left\langle\left(\left|u_{2}\right\rangle \otimes\left|z_{2}\right\rangle\right)\right|\left(\left|u_{1}\right\rangle \otimes\left|z_{1}\right\rangle\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle u_{2} \mid u_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle z_{2} \mid z_{1}\right\rangle$


## Composing quantum states

Clearly, every element of $V \otimes W$ can be written as

$$
\alpha_{1}\left(\left|v_{1}\right\rangle \otimes\left|w_{1}\right\rangle\right)+\alpha_{2}\left(\left|v_{2}\right\rangle \otimes\left|w_{1}\right\rangle\right)+\cdots+\alpha_{n m}\left(\left|v_{n}\right\rangle \otimes\left|w_{m}\right\rangle\right)
$$

Example
The basis of $V \otimes W$, for $V, W$ qubits with the computational basis is

$$
\{|0\rangle \otimes|0\rangle,|0\rangle \otimes|1\rangle,|1\rangle \otimes|0\rangle,|1\rangle \otimes|1\rangle\}
$$

Thus, the tensor of $\alpha_{1}|0\rangle+\alpha_{2}|1\rangle$ and $\beta_{1}|0\rangle+\beta_{2}|1\rangle$ is

$$
\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}|0\rangle \otimes|0\rangle+\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}|0\rangle \otimes|1\rangle+\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}|1\rangle \otimes|0\rangle+\alpha_{2} \beta_{2}|1\rangle \otimes|1\rangle
$$

i.e., in a simplified notation,

$$
\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}|00\rangle+\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}|01\rangle+\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}|10\rangle+\alpha_{2} \beta_{2}|11\rangle
$$

## Bases

The computational basis for a vector space

$$
\underbrace{V \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V}_{n}
$$

corresponding to the composition of $n$ qubits (each living in $V$ ) is the set

$$
\{\underbrace{|0\rangle \cdots|0\rangle|0\rangle}_{n}, \underbrace{|0\rangle \cdots|0\rangle|1\rangle}_{n}, \underbrace{|0\rangle \cdots|1\rangle|0\rangle}_{n}, \cdots \underbrace{|1\rangle \cdots|1\rangle|1\rangle}_{n}\}
$$

$\stackrel{a b v}{=}$

$$
\{\underbrace{|0 \cdots 00\rangle}_{n}, \underbrace{|0 \cdots 01\rangle}_{n}, \underbrace{|0 \cdots 10\rangle}_{n}, \cdots \underbrace{|1 \cdots 11\rangle}_{n}\}
$$

which may be written in a compressed (decimal) way as

$$
\left\{|0\rangle,|1\rangle,|2\rangle,|3\rangle, \cdots\left|2^{n}-1\right\rangle\right\}
$$

## Bases

The computational basis for a two qubit system would be

$$
\{|0\rangle,|1\rangle,|2\rangle,|3\rangle\}
$$

with
$|0\rangle=|00\rangle=\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right] \quad|1\rangle=|01\rangle=\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right] \quad|2\rangle=|10\rangle=\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0\end{array}\right] \quad|3\rangle=|11\rangle=\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$

## Bases

There are of course other bases ... besides the standard one, e.g. The Bell basis

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\Phi^{+}\right\rangle & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle+|11\rangle) \\
\left|\Phi^{-}\right\rangle & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle-|11\rangle) \\
\left|\Psi^{+}\right\rangle & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle+|10\rangle) \\
\left|\Psi^{-}\right\rangle & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle-|10\rangle)
\end{aligned}
$$

Compare with the Hadamard basis for the single qubit systems

## Representing multi-qubit states

Any unit vector in a $2^{n}$ Hilbert space represents a possible $n$-qubit state, but for
... a certain level of redundancy

- As before, vectors that differ only in a global phase represent the same quantum state
- but also the same phase factor in different qubits of a tensor product represent the same state:

$$
|u\rangle \otimes\left(e^{i \phi}|z\rangle\right)=e^{i \phi}(|u\rangle \otimes|z\rangle)=\left(e^{i \phi}|u\rangle\right) \otimes|z\rangle
$$

Actually, phase factors in qubits of a single term of a superposition can always be factored out into a coefficient for that term, i.e. phase factors distribute over tensors

## Representing multi-qubit states

## Representation

- Relative phases still matter (of course!)

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle+|11\rangle) \text { differs from } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(e^{i \phi}|00\rangle+|11\rangle\right)
$$

even if

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle+|11\rangle)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(e^{i \phi}|00\rangle+e^{i \phi}|11\rangle\right)=\frac{e^{i \phi}}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle+|11\rangle
$$

- The complex projective space of dimension 1 (depicted in the Block sphere) generalises to higher dimensions, although in practice linearity makes Hilbert spaces easier to use.


## Entanglement

## Most states in $V \otimes W$ cannot be written as $|u\rangle \otimes|z\rangle$

For example, the Bell state

$$
\left|\Phi^{+}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle+|11\rangle)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|00\rangle+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|11\rangle
$$

is entangled


## Entanglement

Actually, to make $\left|\Phi^{+}\right\rangle$equal to

$$
\left(\alpha_{1}|0\rangle+\beta_{1}|1\rangle\right) \otimes\left(\alpha_{2}|0\rangle+\beta_{2}|1\rangle\right)=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}|00\rangle+\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}|01\rangle+\beta_{1} \alpha_{2}|10\rangle+\beta_{1} \beta_{2}|11\rangle
$$

would require that $\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}=\beta_{1} \alpha_{2}=0$ which implies that either

$$
\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}=0 \text { or } \beta_{1} \beta_{2}=0
$$

Note
Entanglement can also be observed in simpler structures, e.g. relations:

$$
\{(a, a),(b, b)\} \subseteq A \times A
$$

cannot be separated, i.e. written as a Cartesian product of subsets of $A$.

## The measurement postulate

## Postulate 4

For a given orthonormal basis $B=\left\{\left|v_{1}\right\rangle,\left|v_{2}\right\rangle, \cdots\right\}$, a measurement of a state space $|v\rangle=\sum_{i} \alpha_{i}\left|v_{i}\right\rangle$ wrt $B$, outputs the label $i$ with probability $\left\|\alpha_{i}\right\|^{2}$ and leaves the system in state $\left|v_{i}\right\rangle$.

- Given a state

$$
|v\rangle=\sum_{i} \alpha_{i}\left|v_{i}\right\rangle
$$

the probability of collapsing to base state $\left|v_{i}\right\rangle$ is $\left\|\left\langle v_{i} \mid v\right\rangle\right\|^{2}$.

- Measurements are made through projectors which identify the 'data' (i.e. the subspace of the relevant Hilbert space where the quintum system lives) one wants to measure.


## Projectors

Any projector $P$ identifies in the state space $V$ a subspace $V_{P}$ of all vectors $|\phi\rangle$ that are left unchanged by $P$, i.e. such that

$$
P|\phi\rangle=|\phi\rangle
$$

## Examples

- The identity I projects onto the whole space $V$.
- The zero operator projects onto the space $\{0\}$ consisting only of the zero vector.
- $|v\rangle\langle v|$ is the projector onto the subspace spanned by $|v\rangle$.


## Outer product

- inner product $\langle w \mid v\rangle$ : multiplying $|v\rangle$ on the left by the dual $\langle w|$, yields a scalar.
- outer product $|w\rangle\langle v|$ : multiplies on the right, yielding an operator:

$$
|w\rangle\langle v|(|u\rangle)=|w\rangle\langle v \mid u\rangle=\langle v \mid u\rangle|w\rangle
$$

Clearly

$$
|v\rangle\langle v|(|u\rangle)=\langle v \mid u\rangle|v\rangle
$$

which projects $|u\rangle$ to the 1-dimensional subspace of $H$ spanned by $|v\rangle$

## Projectors

## Examples

- Projector $|0\rangle\langle 0|$ projects onto the subspace generated by $|0\rangle$, i.e.

$$
|0\rangle\langle 0|(\alpha|0\rangle+\beta|1\rangle)=\alpha|0\rangle\langle 0|(|0\rangle)+\beta|0\rangle\langle 0|(|1\rangle)=\alpha|0\rangle
$$

- Similarly, $|10\rangle\langle 10|$ acts on a two-qubit state

$$
v=\alpha_{00}|00\rangle+\alpha_{01}|01\rangle+\alpha_{10}|10\rangle+\alpha_{11}|11\rangle
$$

yielding

$$
|10\rangle\langle 10|(|v\rangle)=\alpha_{10}|10\rangle
$$

and

$$
|00\rangle\langle 00|+|10\rangle\langle 10|(|v\rangle)=\alpha_{00}|00\rangle+\alpha_{10}|10\rangle
$$

## A parenthesis

## Projectors

A projector $P: V \rightarrow V_{P}$ is an operator such that

$$
P^{2}=P
$$

Additionally, we require $P$ to be Hermitian, i.e.

$$
P=P^{\dagger}
$$

Note that the combination of both properties yields

$$
\| P|v\rangle \|^{2}=\left(\langle v| P^{\dagger}\right)(P|v\rangle)=\langle v| P|v\rangle
$$

## Example

The probability of getting state $|0\rangle$ when measuring $\alpha|0\rangle+\beta|1\rangle$ with
$P=|0\rangle\langle 0|$ is computed as

$$
\| P|v\rangle\left\|^{2}=\langle v| P|v\rangle=\langle v \| 0\rangle\langle 0 \| v\rangle=\langle v \mid 0\rangle\langle 0 \mid v\rangle=\bar{\alpha} \alpha=\right\| \alpha \|^{2}
$$

## Projectors

Two projectors $P, Q$ are orthogonal if $P Q=0$.
The sum of any collection of orthogonal projectors $\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, \cdots\right\}$ is still a projector (verify!).

A projector $P$ has a decomposition if it can be written as a sum of orthogonal projectors:

$$
P=\sum_{i} P_{i}
$$

Such projectors yield measurements wrt to the corresponding decomposition.

## Examples

- Complete measurement in the computational basis wrt to decomposition

$$
I=\sum_{i \in 2^{n}}|i\rangle\langle i|
$$

in a state with $n$ qubits.

- Incomplete measurement: e.g.

$$
\sum_{\left\{i \in 2^{n} \mid i \text { even }\right\}}|i\rangle\langle i|
$$

## Projectors

## Example: measuring up to (bit equality)

$$
V=S_{e} \oplus S_{n}
$$

with $S_{e}$ the subspace generated by $\{|00\rangle,|11\rangle\}$ in which the two bits are equal, and $S_{n}$ its complement. $P_{e}$ and $P_{n}$, are the corresponding projectors.

When measuring

$$
v=\alpha_{00}|00\rangle+\alpha_{01}|01\rangle+\alpha_{10}|10\rangle+\alpha_{11}|11\rangle
$$

with this device, yields a state in which the two bit values are equal with probability

$$
\langle v| P_{e}|v\rangle=\left(\sqrt{\left\|\alpha_{00}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\alpha_{11}\right\|^{2}}\right)=\left\|\alpha_{00}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\alpha_{11}\right\|^{2}
$$

Of course, the measurement does not determine the value of the two bits, only whether the two bits are equal

## Projectors

Any orthonormal collection of vectors $B=\left\{\left|v_{1}\right\rangle,\left|v_{2}\right\rangle, \cdots\right\}$ defines a projector

$$
P=\sum_{i}\left|v_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle v_{i}\right|
$$

If $B$ spans the entire Hilbert space $V$, it forms a basis for $V$ and $P=I$, i.e. $B$ provides a decompostion for the identity.

Is there a standard way to provide a decomposition for $P$ ?
Yes, if $P$ is a Hermitian operator, because of the

## Spectral theorem

Any Hermitian operator on a finite Hilbert space $V$ provides a basis for $V$ consisting of its eigenvectors.

## Projectors are Hermitian

## Hermitian operators

- define a unique orthogonal subspace decomposition, their eigenspace decomposition, and
- for every such decomposition, there exists a corresponding Hermitian operator whose eigenspace decomposition coincides with it


## Properties

Every eigenvalue $\lambda$ with eigenvector $|r\rangle$ is real, because

$$
\lambda\langle r \mid r\rangle=\langle r| \lambda|r\rangle=\langle r|(P|r\rangle)=\left(\langle r| P^{\dagger}\right)|r\rangle=\bar{\lambda}\langle r \mid r\rangle
$$

## Projectors are Hermitian

## Properties

For any $P$ Hermitian, two distinct eigenvalues have disjoint eigenspaces, because, for any unit vector $|v\rangle$,

$$
P|v\rangle=\lambda|v\rangle \text { and } P|v\rangle=\lambda^{\prime}|v\rangle \text { and }\left(\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right)|v\rangle=0
$$

and thus $\lambda=\lambda^{\prime}$.
Moreover, the eigenvectors for distinct eigenvalues must be orthogonal, because

$$
\lambda\langle v \mid w\rangle=\left(\langle v| P^{\dagger}\right)|w\rangle=\langle v|(P|w\rangle)=\mu\langle v \mid w\rangle
$$

for any pairs $(\lambda,|v\rangle),(\mu,|w\rangle)$ with $\lambda \neq \mu$.
Thus, $\langle v \mid w\rangle=0$, because $\lambda \neq \mu$, and the corresponding subspaces are orthogonal.

## Projectors are Hermitian

Eigenspace decomposition of $V$ for $P$
Any Hermitian $P$ determines a unique decomposition for $V$

$$
V=\oplus_{\lambda_{i}} S_{\lambda_{i}}
$$

and any decomposition $V=\oplus_{i=1}^{k} S_{i}$ can be realized as the eigenspace decomposition of a Hermitian operator

$$
P=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \mathrm{P}_{i}
$$

where each $\mathrm{P}_{i}$ is the projector onto $S_{\lambda_{i}}$

## Projectors are Hermitian

A decomposition can be specified by a Hermitian operator

- Any measurement is specified by a Hermitian operator $P$
- The possible outcomes of measuring a state $|v\rangle$ with $P$ are labeled by the eigenvalues of $P$
- The probability of obtaining the outcome labelled by $\lambda_{i}$ is

$$
\| P_{i}|v\rangle \|^{2}
$$

- The state after measurement is the normalized projection

$$
\frac{P_{i}|v\rangle}{\| \mathrm{P}_{i}|v\rangle \|}
$$

onto the $\lambda_{i}$-eigenspace $S_{i}$. Thus, the state after measurement is a unit length eigenvector of $P$ with eigenvalue $\lambda_{i}$

## Projectors are Hermitian

## Notes

- A measurement is not modelled by the action of a Hermitian operator on a state, but of the corresponding projectors.
- Actually, Hermitian operators are only a bookeeping trick
- A Hermitian operator uniquely specifies a subspace decomposition
- For a given subspace decomposition there are many Hermitian operators whose eigenspace decomposition is that decomposition.


## Projectors are Hermitian

Example: Measuring a single qubit in the Hadamard basis Operator

$$
X=|0\rangle\langle 1|+|1\rangle\langle 0|=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

is Hermitian, with eigenvalues $\lambda_{+}=1$ and $\lambda_{-}=-1$, and $|+\rangle,|-\rangle$ the corresponding eigenvectors, thus yielding the following projectors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{+} ;=|+\rangle\langle+|=\frac{1}{2}(|0\rangle\langle 0|+|0\rangle\langle 1|+|1\rangle\langle 0|+|1\rangle\langle 1|) \\
& P_{-}=|-\rangle\langle-|=\frac{1}{2}(|0\rangle\langle 0|-|0\rangle\langle 1|-|1\rangle\langle 0|+|1\rangle\langle 1|)
\end{aligned}
$$

## End of parenthesis

